REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF P06-092, Log No. 06-09-024; Clevenger Canyon

February 7, 2008

			<u>E</u> – Does the proposed project conform to the Ordinance findings?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
Multiple Species (subject to the Hab	Conservation oitat Loss Pe	n Program, t ermit/Coasta	e located outside of the boundaries of the he project site does not contain habitats I Sage Scrub Ordinance. Therefore, coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not
			ct conform to the Multiple Species gation Ordinance?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑
Conservation Proof the Multiple Sp	gram. Howe ecies Conse cel, conforma	ver, a small rvation Prog ance with th	f the boundaries of the Multiple Species portion of the parcel is within the boundaries gram. As the project site is not within this e Multiple Species Conservation Program and required.
III. GROUNDWAT			es the project comply with the requirements of nance?
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
As identified withi	n Section 67	7.722B of the	e San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance,

As identified within Section 67.722B of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance, it has been determined that groundwater resources are adequate to meet the groundwater demands of the project. Groundwater use is expected to be minimal as the project proposes landscaping consisting of three (3) thirty-six inch (36") Aleppo Pine tress. Use of groundwater is proposed only for the planting and establishment of these trees. Once they are established use of groundwater for irrigation will no longer be required. The project will not adversely impact groundwater availability.

P06-092 - 2 - February 7, 2008

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Section 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Section 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604(e)(2)(iii))?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project site lies outside any identified special flood hazard areas that include a mapped dam inundation area for a major dam/reservoir within San Diego County. In addition, the project is not located immediately downstream of a minor dam that could potentially flood the property. Therefore, the project will not expose people to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam.

Steep Slopes:

The subject parcel does contain steep slopes. However, the project will only occupy approximately 840 square feet. Although the project does contain steep slopes as defined by the Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO), the footprint of the proposed project is relatively small compared to the parcel and therefore it will not involve large amounts of grading or other activities that would weaken existing slopes on-site. Therefore, as the project will only occupy approximately 840 square feet, it will not adversely affect the steep slopes on the parcel.

Sensitive Habitats:

Sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site. However, the project will not result in any development, grading, grubbing, clearing, or any other activity that will damage the sensitive habitat lands. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

Based on an analysis of County of San Diego archaeology resource files, archaeological records, maps, and aerial photographs by County of San Diego staff archaeologist, Gail Wright, it has been determined that the project site does not contain any archaeological resources.

<u>V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)</u> - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
\boxtimes		

The Department of Planning and Land Use (DPLU) and Department of Public Works (DPW) staff have reviewed the Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) For Minor Projects submitted to the County of San Diego on March 21, 2007 for the proposed unmanned wireless telecommunications facility in the Ramona Community Planning area within the County of San Diego. This document complies with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management, and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO, Section 67.817).

<u>VI. NOISE ORDINANCE</u> – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
\boxtimes		

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Project consists of equipment cabinets housed within a prefabricated equipment shelter. Noise generating equipment within the shelter is not considered a significant noise source. Proposed wall-mounted HVAC units and a standby generator will be located adjacent to the equipment shelter. The entire facility will be enclosed by an 8-foot high CMU wall on all four sides with solid metal gates located on the northwest and southwest sides. Based on a Noise Impact Analysis prepared by Eilar & Associates dated February 27, 2007, the projected noise levels from the proposed generator and HVAC units combined will be as high as 40.9 dBA at the western property line which will be well below the 45 dBA property line sound level limit. Noise analysis considers the

8-foot high CMU wall enclosure a "noise control element" and is already incorporated within the wireless facility design. Therefore, the proposed HVAC units and standby generator will meet the property line noise level limits of the County Noise Ordinance (Section 36.404).

ND02-08\0609024-ORDCHKLST;jcr